UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/774,820 | 02/09/2004 | Cameron W. Tanner | SP00-391C | 9241 |
| | 7590 08/20/200 CORPORATED | EXAMINER | | |
| SP-TI-3-1 | 7 1 402 1 | FORMAN, BETTY J | | |
| CORNING, NY 14831 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1634 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/774,820 | TANNER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| BJ Forman | 1634 | | |

| | BJ Forman | 1634 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>31 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | | - | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE '). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| | out prior to the data of filing a briat | will not be entered be | 001100 |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) \square They are not deemed to place the application in bett | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or | arragnanding number of finally rais | antad alaima | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | mnliant Amendment (| DTOL -324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | impliant Amenament (i | 101-02-7. |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,9,13,14,16,20,21,38 and 39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | hafara ar ar tha data of filing a Ni | ation of Annual will mak | . h. a. a. a. a. a. a. a. |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /BJ Forman/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 1634 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amendments, in addition to a few grammatical changes, merely Cancel Claim 2 and add the limitations of Claim 2 into the independent Claims 1 and 38. Claim 2, as it depended from Claim 1, was previous rejected under 103 over Glazer in view of Renfrew and Havens in view of Renfrew. Adding the limitations of Claim 2 to Claim 38 has not previously been considered. Therefore the newly defined invention of Claim 38 would require further consideration, including potential issues of new matter. For these reasons, the amendments will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The amendments, in addition to a few grammatical changes, merely Cancel Claim 2 and add the limitations of Claim 2 into the independent claims. Claim 2 was previous rejected under 103 over Glazer in view of Renfrew and Havens in view of Renfrew. While Applicant traverses the previous rejections, Applicant has not set forth any arguments to support the traversals. Hence, the claims stand rejected for reasons of record.